

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/887,042	ASANO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	DON N. VO	2631

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) DON N. VO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Catherine M. Voorhees (Reg. No. 33,074). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 March 2006

**Time:** 9:40 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney of record was informed that a new statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) was needed. MPEP 324 was also suggested. Moreover, the Substitute Specification filed on 9/16/03 was going to be amended by Examiner Amendment to include the cross-reference to the related applications..